

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,851	06/18/2001	Bogdan C. Maglich	HIENER.1CPC1CP	9955	
20995	7590 01/16/2003				
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	TH FLOOR	KEITH, JACK W			
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			. <u> </u>		
3,4		Application No. 09/883,851	Appricant(s)	Maglio	ch C	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner Jack Keith		Art Unit 3641		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	pondence addi	ress	
A SHOTHE No Extended after the control of the co	or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ser SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day considered timely.	CFR 1.136 (a). In no eve ication. vs, a reply within the state	nt, however, i	may a reply be t	days will	
co - Failur - Any i	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ov statute, cause the app	lication to bed	ome ABANDON	IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 18,	2002			· ·	
2a) 🗀	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action	ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C.	atters, prose D. 11; 453	ecution as to t O.G. 213.	he merits is	
	tion of Claims				tti-atiaa	
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>					
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s)			is/are rejecte		
	Claim(s)			is/are objecte		
8) X	Claims <u>1-25</u>	are subj	ect to restri	ction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/a			1. V	aa	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		approved	b) Li disappr	ovea.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)	and the second of the second o	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h					
*(3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ireau (PCI Rule 17.2)	a11.	n this Nationa	il Stage	
14)				∂(e).		
Attachi	ment(s)					

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) ___ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to an apparatus (system for detecting and imaging a chemical substance), classified in class 376, subclass 159.
 - II. Claims 18-25, drawn to a process (process for detecting and imaging a chemical substance within an object), classified in class 376, subclass 156.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by materially different apparatus (i.e., x-ray analysis, MRI, chemical sniffer, etc.) or by hand (i.e., visual inspections).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. <u>Upon election of invention I or II</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/883,851

Art Unit: 3641

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, claim 1 appears to be generic to invention I and claim 18 appears to be generic to invention II):



The embodiment figures 1-3.

- B. The embodiment figure 4.
- C. The embodiment figure 5.
- D. The embodiment figure 6.
- E. The embodiment figure 7.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3641

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Jack Keith Examiner,

Art Unit 3641

jwk